G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.
Mucz. 389—21 - 1418

1923.
(Mucz.)

File No. 134-23

Serial Nos. 2-3

Later references.
Mucz. 139-23-4-6
255-27-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for November 1923

SUBJECT

Management of the temple of Sri Channakesava Swamy & Sri Rama Swamy at Kadalakote in the Chitaldrug Taluq

No. 753 dated 29 11 23

To the Mucz Comr

Forwarded for needful action

28/11/23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inam

NOTES.

File No. 139-23

FILE HEADING.

Management of the temple of Sri Channa Kesava Devaru and Sri Prana Devaru at Kaala Sthe in the Chitaldrug Taluk.

From

C. Konne Rao.

No. Petition Dated 27-10-23 Received

Diary No.	Enclos. -	Spare Copies. -	Serial No.
481			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that on a re-consideration of G.O. D No. 150-Inm 389-21 dy 25.7.23, the management of the said institution and its endowed lands may be restored to his possession.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Review-application is duly stamped. The facts of the case are given at length in the office note of Inm. 389/1920 and in G.O. D dy 25.7.23. Singled to be received with regard to the petitioner.

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

to the management of the temple and its lands, it has been directed that the petr and his apparent cannot be recognized & have any claim for the lands declared & be the property of the temple about 20 years ago - the petr prays that on a re-consideration of this order, the management of the temple and its endowed lands be restored to his possession. the grounds for review are summarized in the petition.

the main points are :-

(1) that at the time of G.O. of 1903, the petr was a minor of 9 years old.

(2) that the petr did not ask for the management of the temple and its lands until any

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 GPB—3750—1 S 22

Serial No

Selfish idea, but with an honest motive to up-lift and revive the name of the founder so that the worship in the temple may be kept up as before.

(3) That a personal hearing before Swamy may be given before his review-application is disposed of.

Submit for orders.

There are no grounds for reopening the case. The Memorialist may if asked be informed accordingly.

14-11-23

The grounds of review are

1). That when the lands were resumed by Govt in 1903, the petitioner was a minor, about 9 years old

2). That his previous appln was only for handing over the management of the Institution with the lands under Shirotaka Cowdhan,

WD 0561-GPB—3750—1-5-22

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 134 of 1923.

Serial No

not to hand over the lands as his personal property as viewed by Govt.

3) that the Govt done in the proceedings of 1903 prohibit the ~~manage~~ restoration of the management to the lands, when suitable men come forward, and that similar concession has been shown in other instances

4) that he was not given a personal hearing before passing the order under review.

In para 7 the Review petition, he agrees to abide by the Muzrai Rules & Regulations if the Management is restored to him

In view of the Govt (2), (3) & (4), the petitioner may perhaps be given a hearing if the Member approves.

16.11.23

395
16.11.23.

2nd member)

The petr says that he does not claim the ownership of the lands but only wants the management of the Dharmasthala lands assigned to him by the Endowments of them Dharmadi. He wants to be made a Sarvadhikari of the Dharmasthala ~~and~~ with ~~management~~ the Management of the Dharmasthala

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. 111-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 137 of 192 3

Serial No.

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 139 of 1923.

Serial No.	
2	To The Muzrai Commr. No. 753 d. 29.11.23. Forwards the petition subd. to above for needful action and disposal or report if necessary.
3	To petitioner Komni Ras. No. 756 d. 29.11.23. Informs him of the above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561–GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Section Muz

File No. 139-23.

Serial No. 2

No. 753

Date of issue 29.11.23

Signed by M.T. Ramaiya

To The Muzrai Comr.

Sir,

Adverting to this officer letter no. 150-Muz. 389-21 Dy 25th July 23 conveying orders of Govt in the matter of the management of the temple of Sri Channa Ke Sava Devaru and Sri Prana Devaru at Keelakote in the Chitaldrug Taluk &

over.

together with the main
limit current. There, I am
reached to formulate herewith
the heartfelt wishes and
regards of respect of
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

can be complied with-
more over, even if he is
prepared to reside in the
village and manage the
temple and the land,
his request cannot be granted
without making local enquiries
to find out whether this
arrangement is practicable,
and is not objected to
by other claimants.

I have &c

23.11.23.
for Rev. Secretary.

24/11/23

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

... .

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.

File No. 137-23.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 754

Date of issue 22.11.23

To

Signed by M.T. Ramaiya

With reference to his Review application no. 481 of 23-10-23 praying for a re-consideration of the order 7 forth relating to the management of the temple of Sri Chamundeswari Devasthanam at Kadakola in the Chitaldrug district

To C. Koneri Rao,
Operator, Jewel Filters,
Vanivilas Water Works, Bangalore,
Is informed that his
application has been
forwarded to the Munsif
Court in Mysore for
disposal or report if
necessary. By order

23.1.22.

Dy Registrar.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc., not intended for permanent record.]

ıould routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form

. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

As regards the renovation of the Belur, Somanathapur & certain other temples, the Chief Engr. for Roads and Buildings, the Muz: Comr. and the Director of Archaeological Researches have expressed the opinion that a committee should be appointed to decide ~~the~~ upon the nature of work that is to be done in the future. The Muz: Comr's letter forwarding with his own opinion the Chf: Engr's letter on the subject (Muz: File 135-23-6) which was subd. for orders, is put up.

To Mr. Rev. on 30/1/24

With regard to the revision of scale of and grant of lands to the Belur temple (Muz: 28 of 23) proposals from the Muz: Comr. were recd. on 25.1.24 and the letter is put up in the ~~linked~~ connected file and placed below. It will be worked up & subd. after these files are returned.

A.S. on 29/1/24.

worked up subd. this file 29/1

SD 26.1.24

26.1.24

Rev. Secy) Govt. Madras

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GHB—3750—1-8 22

REVENUE
26 JAN 1924
SECRETARY

G R S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

N O T E.

At what stage the question of repairs to Belur and Somnathpur temples stands? The files may also be put up.

B. O.

Rev. Secretary.

To

The Superintendent,

Muzrai Branch.

The files under submission
to Govt member are here with.

26.1.24

Rev. Secy.

N B- Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

W D-1880 C P I — 1 50 000 2 5 21

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No: of 192 .

Serial No.

To the Chief Engineer, Bangalore.,

D.O. letter no. 1070 dt 11-2-24

Calls for particulars of estimates if any, sanctd and executed during the last 10 or 12 yrs to the temples at Halebid and Somanathapur.-

From the Ex: Engr., letter no. 9014 dt 28.2.24.

Forwards a copy of the staff showing the estimates sanctd & the outlay incurred on the preservation & renovation of Halebid temples during the last 12 yrs and states that the Executive Engr has been reminded in the matter of furnishing similar information reqd about the temple at Somanathapur.

The staff shows (1) that an estimate for Rs 1700 was sanctd for the repairs of the Sri Hoisaleswaraswamy temple & that a sum of Rs 1108 has been spent out of it (2) that an estimate for Rs 26022 was sanctd for the Sri Kethareswaraswamy Temple and that

NOTE—Contn. sheet

[II-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

a sum of Rs 6095 has been spent out of and (3) that ~~xxx~~ estimates for Rs ~~xxxx~~ 5771 for the repairs of the Jain Basti Temples were sanctd and that a sum of Rs 5476 was spent out of it.

A similar report about the Somanath Temple has been promised to be subd.

Subd for orders. ~~Mr~~ Dubal of the Archaeological Survey of the Deccan, Kalebid and Somanathpur Temples is being dealt with separately; and a draft order Constituting a Renovation Committee has been subd. for approval and thereafter &c.

RB 7-3-24 This may also be placed that file & subd to the members personal.

4/3/24

4 3 II Member)

69.

5.3.24.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

27655

GEN. SEC. REV. SECRETARIAT. 24 FEB 1924 MUZRAI

JOHN BHORE ESQ., A.M.I.C.E., M.R.San.I
CHIEF ENGINEER,
BANGALORE

M. 9014

No 27655 Dated February 1924.

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.1070/Muz. dated the 11th February 1924, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the statement showing the estimates sanctioned and the outlay incurred on the preservation and renovation of Halebid Temples during the last 12 years.

2. The particulars called for from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, re the temple at Somanathpur have not yet been received. He has been reminded in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

HRR

GEN. SEC. REV. SECRETARIAT. 29 FEB 1924 MUZRAI

D. 1059

Statement showing the outlay incurred for the restoration and repairs of temples at Halibid, Belur Taluk, Hassan District during the past 12 years.

Year.	Hoisaleswaraswamy Temple.				Ketharesswaraswamy temple.				Jain Basthi Temples.				Remarks.
	Repairs.		Pay of watchman.		Repairs.		Pay of watchman.		Repairs.		Pay of watchman.		
	Estimate.	Outlay.	Estimate.	Outlay.	Estimate.	Outlay.	Estimate	Outlay.	Estimate	Outlay	Estimate	Outlay.	
-12.	---	---	168	143	26,022*	2,500	72	71	---	---	---	---	*(This estimate
-13.	1,603-A	170	144	144	---	2,525	72	72	---	---	---	---	(was sanctioned
-14.	R.E.1,700-B	325	144	144	---	783	72	72	---	---	---	---	(in 1908 and the
-15.	---	330	144	141	---	262	72	68	---	120	---	---	(expenditure
-16.	---	260	144	144	---	-25	72	71	189	10	---	---	(being booked
-17.	---	---	144	144	---	---	72	72	---	---	---	---	(from that time.
17-18.	---	---	144	144	---	---	72	72	---	---	---	---	A-The original
18-19.	---	23	144	144	---	---	72	77	5,585	222	---	---	estimate was
1919-20.	---	---	186	209	---	---	93	105	---	1,552	---	---	sanctioned for
1920-21.	---	---	264	283	---	---	132	142	---	2,512	---	---	Rs.1,603/-.
1921-22.	---	---	264	146	---	---	132	63	---	153	---	---	B-The revised
1922-23.	---	---	224	224	---	---	112	112	---	898	---	---	estimate for
													Rs.1,700/- was
													sanctioned in
													1913-14.

95

"C o p y."

M. Narasimhachar

HRR/25th.

FOR CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE.

S. VI—G, 32]

SUBJECT

... ...

... ...

... ...

... ...

request that a detailed report in the matter may be submitted for the information of the Dewan Saheb, before the 22nd instant.

As the information is required urgently, I am to request you to send the information upon the 9 this month

Yours truly

8D
7.2.24

D
9.2.24.

To

The Chief Engr for Roads and Buildings in Mysore Bangalore

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by